Fallacies are deceptive errors of thinking.

A good argument should:

1. be deductively valid (or inductively strong) and have all true premises;
2. have its validity and truth-of-premises be as evident as possible to the parties involved;
3. be clearly stated (using understandable language and making clear what the premises and conclusion are);
4. avoid circularity, ambiguity, and emotional language; and
5. be relevant to the issue at hand.
List of fallacies

Circular (question begging): Assuming the truth of what has to be proved – or using A to prove B and then B to prove A.

Ambiguous: Changing the meaning of a term or phrase within the argument.

Appeal to emotion: Stirring up emotions instead of arguing in a logical manner.

Beside the point: Arguing for a conclusion irrelevant to the issue at hand.

Straw man: Misrepresenting an opponent’s views.
Appeal to the crowd: Arguing that a view must be true because most people believe it.

Opposition: Arguing that a view must be false because our opponents believe it.

Genetic fallacy: Arguing that your view must be false because we can explain why you hold it.

Appeal to ignorance: Arguing that a view must be false because no one has proved it.

*Post hoc ergo propter hoc*: Arguing that, since A happened after B, thus A was caused by B.

Division-composition: Arguing that what applies to the parts must apply to the whole – or vice versa.
Appeal to authority: Appealing in an improper way to expert opinion.

*Ad hominem* (personal attack): Improperly attacking the person instead of the view.

Pro-con: A one-sided appeal to advantages and disadvantages.
Black and white thinking: Oversimplifying by assuming that one of two extremes must be true.

False stereotype: Assuming that members of a certain group are more alike than they are.

Appeal to force: Using threats or intimidation to get a conclusion accepted.

Complex question: Asking a question that assumes the truth of something false or doubtful.
18 fallacies

AA = appeal to authority
AC = appeal to the crowd
AE = appeal to emotion
AF = appeal to force
AH = ad hominem
AI = appeal to ignorance
AM = ambiguous
BP = beside the point
BW = black and white

CI = circular
CQ = complex question
DC = division-composition
FS = false stereotype
GF = genetic fallacy
OP = opposition
PC = pro-con
PH = post hoc
SM = straw man
Appeal to authority: Appealing in an improper way to expert opinion.

Appeal to the crowd: Arguing that a view must be true because most people believe it.

Appeal to emotion: Stirring up emotions instead of arguing in a logical manner.

Appeal to force: Using threats or intimidation to get a conclusion accepted.

*Ad hominem*: Improperly attacking the person instead of the view.

Appeal to ignorance: Arguing that a view must be false because no one has proved it.

Ambiguous: Changing the meaning of a term or phrase within the argument.

Beside the point: Arguing for a conclusion irrelevant to the issue at hand.

Black and white: Oversimplifying by assuming that one of two extremes must be true.
Circular: Assuming the truth of what has to be proved – or using A to prove B and then B to prove A.
Complex question: Asking a question that assumes the truth of something false or doubtful.
Division-composition: Arguing that what applies to the parts must apply to the whole – or vice versa.
False stereotype: Assuming that members of a certain group are more alike than they are.
Genetic fallacy: Arguing that your view must be false because we can explain why you hold it.
Opposition: Arguing that a view must be false because our opponents believe it.
Pro-con: A one-sided appeal to advantages and disadvantages.
Post hoc: Arguing that, since A happened after B, thus A was caused by B.
Straw man: Misrepresenting an opponent’s views.